

Comments on

**“Should Firms Share Information About
Expensive Customers?”**

(Mathur, Srinivasan, and Sun)

Rajeev K. Tyagi

University of California, Irvine

The SetUp

1. Consumers

- ☐ Two segments – *Inexpensives* and *Expensives*
 - Inexpensives – always identifiable by sellers
 - Expensives – may appear as inexpensives or expensives

2. Sellers

- ☐ Two, compete on price
- ☐ Can't price discriminate

3. Effect of Information Sharing

- ☐ Without access to other firm's info, a firm can not identify two segments perfectly, and hence targets both segments with one price

- ☐ With access to other firm's info, a firm can identify both segments perfectly AND targets only the inexpensive segment with one price

Questions

- ☐ Will firms benefit from sharing such information?
- ☐ Will firms share such information in equilibrium?

Question 1: If my competitor does not give me his info, should I give him mine?

- ☐ If I refuse to give competitor my info, I force him to target expensive customers too, which raises its price.

- ☐ Increased competitor price
 - good for me if I sell *substitute*
 - bad for me if I sell *complement*

- ☐ So, I will not share information for a *substitute* but will for a *complement*

Question 2: If my competitor gives me his info, should I give him mine?

☐ Same conclusion/logic

➤ Do it for complements, not for substitutes

Implication: In equilibrium,

- (1) Information sharing for *complements*,
- (2) No information sharing for *substitutes*.

Question 3: Will both firms prefer both sharing information to both not sharing information?

- ☐ That is, will both firms get higher profit if both target only inexpensives versus if both target both inexpensives and expensives?
- ☐ If yes, then firms can commit to sharing information through third-parties such as trade associations.

Not Necessarily

Depends on:

- ☐ **Outside good/demand elasticity**
 - Cost increase can be profitable in oligopoly (Dixit 1986; Seade 1987; Tyagi 1999)
 - Negative direct effect, but positive strategic effect
- ☐ **Relative size of expensive segment**
- ☐ **Relative costs of serving each segment**
 - Both factors affect magnitude of price increase for inexpensives and total loss from expensives

Implication: *For substitute goods, firms may or may not share information through trade associations*

General Comments

☐ **Modeling Related**

- Highlight robust results, point out nonrobust ones
- Extensions
 - Other demand elasticities
 - Asymmetric firms
- Simplify

☐ **Motivation / Discussion**

- Examples of firms giving information to competitors so competitors can exclude expensive consumers (credit cards, ??)
- Examples of firms sharing such information for complements, but not for substitutes
- Alternative mechanisms to deal with expensive consumers
 - Menu of contracts to let expensive and inexpensive customers sort out themselves